arXiv:1704.02652v1 [math.CA] 9 Apr 2017

Iterated function systems consisting of φ-max-contractions have attractor

by *Flavian GEORGESCU, Radu MICULESCU* and *Alexandru MIHAIL*

Abstract. We associate to each iterated function system consisting of φ-max-contractions an operator (on the space of continuous functions from the shift space on the metric space corresponding to the system) having a unique fixed point whose image turns out to be the attractor of the system. Moreover, we prove that the unique fixed point of the operator associated to an iterated function system consisting of convex contractions is the canonical projection from the shift space on the attractor of the system.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A80, 37C70, 54H20

Key words and phrases: φ-max-contraction, comparison function, convex contraction, iterated function system, canonical projection, fixed point

1. Introduction

The importance of the concepts of shift space (or the code space) and canonical projection associated to an iterated function system in the description of topological properties of the attractor of such a system was pointed out in several papers like [1] (where the theory of fractal tops is treated), [5] (where the shift space and the canonical projection for an infinite iterated function system are studied) and [3]. A special place in this discussion deserves the paper [8] where the canonical projection between the shift space of an infinite iterated function system and its attractor is presented as a fixed point, in two cases: a) the constitutive functions of the system are uniformly Meir-Keeler; b) the metric space associated to the system is compact and the system consists of a finite number of contractive functions.

As part of the current effort to extend Hutchinson theory concerning iterated function systems to more general frameworks, in [7], the concept of iterated function system consisting of convex contractions was introduced and the existence and uniqueness of the attractor of such a system was obtained. See also [4] for a more general result in this direction.

In this paper we introduce the concept of iterated function system consisting of φ-max-contractions -for short φ-max-IFS- (see Definition 2.12) which

generalizes the concept of iterated function system consisting of convex contractions (see Definition 2.13). To such a system $\mathcal{S}$ we associate an operator $G_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ stands for the space of continuous functions from the shift space on the metric space corresponding to the system, which has a unique fixed point (see Theorem 3.1) whose image is the attractor of $\mathcal{S}$ (see Theorem 3.2). In this way we provide a new method to prove the existence and uniqueness of the attractor of an iterated function system since the classical approach consists on proving that $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ -the fractal operator associated to the system $\mathcal{S}$ whose constitutive functions belong to a fixed family $\mathcal{F}$ of Picard contractions- is also an element of $\mathcal{F}$.

Moreover, we point out the following two facts:

• In the particular case of an iterated function system consisting of convex contractions the fixed point of $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ turns out to be the canonical projection from the shift space on the attractor of the system (see Theorem 3.4). This is a companion result of those from [8].

• Since Theorem 3.1 is also valid for a class of iterated function systems involving a possible infinite family of φ-max-contractions, abbreviated φ-max-PIIFSs (see Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.4), we raise the following open question: Is it true that the fractal operator associated to a φ-max-PIIFS $\mathcal{S}$ is a Picard operator whose fixed point is the image of the fixed point of the operator $G_{\mathcal{S}}$?

2. Preliminaries

Some notations

Given the sets A and B, by B^A we mean the set of functions from A to B.

Given a function $f : X \to X$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, by $f^{[p]}$ we mean $f \circ \underset{p \text{ times}}{f} \circ ... \circ f$.

Given a metric space (X, d), by:
- $P_{cp}(X)$ we mean the set of non-empty compact subsets of X
- $P_{b,cl}(X)$ we mean the set of bounded and closed subsets of X.

Given a metric space (X, d), a subset A of X and $\varepsilon > 0$, by $E_\varepsilon(A)$, the ε-expansion of A, we mean

$$\{y \in X \mid \text{there exists } x \in A \text{ such that } d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}.$$

The Hausdorff-Pompeiu metric

Definition 2.1. *The function* $H : P_{cp}(X) \times P_{cp}(X) \to [0, +\infty)$*, where* (X, d) *is a metric space, defined by*

$$H(A, B) = \max(d(A, B), d(B, A)) =$$

$$= \inf\{\varepsilon \in [0, \infty) \mid A \subseteq E_\varepsilon(B) \text{ and } B \subseteq E_\varepsilon(A)\},$$

where $d(A, B) = \sup\limits_{x \in A} d(x, B) = \sup\limits_{x \in A}(\inf\limits_{y \in B} d(x, y))$*, turns out to be a metric which is called the Hausdorff-Pompeiu metric.*

Remark 2.2.

a) Given two metric spaces (X, d) *and* (Y, d')*, a sequence of continuous functions* $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$*, where* $f_n : X \to Y$*, and* $K \in P_{cp}(X)$*, we have*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H(f_n(K), f(K)) = 0,$$

provided that $f_n \overset{u}{\to} f$*.*

Indeed, let us fixed an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f_n \overset{u}{\to} f$, there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in K$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. Hence $f_n(K) \subseteq E_\varepsilon(f(K))$ and $f(K) \subseteq E_\varepsilon(f_n(K))$, so $H(f_n(K), f(K)) < \varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. Consequently $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} H(f_n(K), f(K)) = 0$.

b) (see Proposition 2.8 from [7]) *Given a complete metric space* (X, d)*,* $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq P_{cp}(X)$ *and* $Y \in P_{cp}(X)$*, we have*

$$Y \cup (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n) \in P_{cp}(X),$$

provided that $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} H(Y_n, Y) = 0$*.*

Comparison functions

Definition 2.3. *A function* $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *is called a comparison function provided that it satisfies the following properties:*

i) φ *is increasing;*

ii) $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \varphi^{[n]}(x) = 0$ *for every* $x \in [0, \infty)$*.*

Remark 2.4. *For each comparison function the following two properties are valid:*

a) $\varphi(0) = 0$*;*
b) $\varphi(x) < x$ *for every* $x \in (0, \infty)$*.*

A fixed point result

Definition 2.5. *Given a metric space* (X, d)*, a function* $f : X \to X$ *is called Picard operator if there exists a unique fixed point* α *of* f *and the sequence* $(f^{[n]}(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is convergent to* α *for every* $x \in X$*.*

Theorem 2.6 (see Theorem 3.1 from [6])**.** *Every continuous function* $f : X \to X$*, where* (X, d) *is a complete metric space, is a Picard operator provided that there exist a comparison function* $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *and* $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *such that*

$$d(f^{[p]}(x), f^{[p]}(y)) \leq \varphi(\max_{j\in\{0,1,2,...,p-1\}} d(f^{[j]}(x), f^{[j]}(y))),$$

for every $x, y \in X$*.*

The shift space

Given a nonempty set I, we denote the set $I^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ by $\Lambda(I)$. Thus $\Lambda(I)$ is the set of infinite words with letters from the alphabet I and a standard element ω of $\Lambda(I)$ can be presented as $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}...$.

We endow $\Lambda(I)$ with the metric described by

$$d_\Lambda(\omega, \theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \omega = \theta \\ \frac{1}{2^m}, & \text{if } \omega \neq \theta \end{cases}$$

where, if $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \neq \theta = \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3...\theta_n\theta_{n+1}...$, m is the unique natural number such that $\omega_1 = \theta_1$, $\omega_2 = \theta_2$, ..., $\omega_{m-1} = \theta_{m-1}$ and $\omega_m \neq \theta_m$.

Remark 2.7.
a) The convergence in the metric space $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ *is the convergence on components.*
b) $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ *is a complete metric space.*
c) If I *is finite, then* $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ *is compact.*

Proposition 2.8 (see [2], Exercise 3.6.2, page 46)**.** *If* I *is a finite set having at least two elements, then* $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ *is a Cantor set (i.e. it is compact, perfect and totally disconnected).*

Proposition 2.9 (see [10], Theorem 30.3, page 216)**.** *Any two Cantor sets are homeomorphic.*

Proposition 2.10 (see [10], Theorem 30.7, page 217)**.** *Any compact metric space is a continuous image of a Cantor set.*

Taking into account the above three results we obtain the following:

Proposition 2.11. *Given a finite set I having at least two elements and a metric space (X,d), for every $K \in P_{cp}(X)$ there exists a continuous function $g_K : \Lambda(I) \to X$ such that $g_K(\Lambda(I)) = K$.*

More notations

Given a nonempty set I, we denote the set $I^{\{1,2,...,n\}}$ by $\Lambda_n(I)$. Thus $\Lambda_n(I)$ is the set of words of length n with letters from the alphabet I and a standard element ω of $\Lambda(I)$ can be presented as $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n$. By $\Lambda_0(I)$ we mean the set having only one element, namely the empty word denoted by λ.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we denote by $V_n(I)$ the set $\underset{k\in\{0,1,2,...,n-1\}}{\cup} \Lambda_k(I)$.

Given a nonempty set I, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and two words $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n \in \Lambda_n(I)$ and $\theta = \theta_1\theta_2...\theta_m \in \Lambda_m(I)$ or $\theta = \theta_1\theta_2...\theta_m\theta_{m+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$, by $\omega\theta$ we mean the concatenation of the words ω and θ, i.e. $\omega\theta = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\theta_1\theta_2...\theta_m$ and respectively $\omega\theta = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\theta_1\theta_2...\theta_m\theta_{m+1}...$.

For a family of functions $(f_i)_{i\in I}$, where $f_i : X \to X$, and $\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_n \in I$, by $f_{\omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n}$ we mean $f_{\omega_1} \circ f_{\omega_2} \circ ... \circ f_{\omega_n}$. For a function $f : X \to X$, by f_λ we mean Id_X.

Iterated function systems consisting of φ-max-contractions

Definition 2.12. *An iterated function system consisting of* φ-max-*contractions (*φ-max-*IFS for short) is described by:*

- a complete metric space (X,d)

- a finite family of continuous functions $(f_i)_{i\in I}$, where $f_i : X \to X$, having the property that there exist a comparison function $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\max_{\omega\in\Lambda_p(I)} d(f_\omega(x), f_\omega(y)) \leq \varphi(\max_{\omega\in V_p(I)} d(f_\omega(x), f_\omega(y))),$$

for every $x, y \in X$.

We denote such a system by

$$\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i \in I}).$$

The *fractal operator* $F_{\mathcal{S}} : P_{cp}(X) \to P_{cp}(X)$, associated to the φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S}$, is given by

$$F_{\mathcal{S}}(K) = \underset{i \in I}{\cup} f_i(K)$$

for every $K \in P_{cp}(X)$.

We say that the φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S}$ has attractor if $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a Picard operator. The fixed point of $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ is called the *attractor* of the system $\mathcal{S}$ and it is denoted by $A_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Iterated function systems consisting of convex contractions

Definition 2.13 (see Definition 3.1 from [7])**.** *An iterated function system consisting of convex contractions (IFSCC for short) is described by:*

- a complete metric space (X, d)

- a finite family of continuous functions $(f_i)_{i \in I}$*, where* $f_i : X \to X$*, such that for every* $i, j \in I$ *there exist* $a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij} \in [0, \infty)$ *satisfying the following two properties:*

$\alpha)$ $a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij} \overset{def}{=} d_{ij}$ *and* $\max\limits_{i,j \in I} d_{ij} < 1$;

$\beta)$

$$d((f_i \circ f_j)(x), (f_i \circ f_j)(y)) \leq a_{ij} d(x, y) + b_{ij} d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) + c_{ij} d(f_j(x), f_j(y))$$

for every $i, j \in I$ *and every* $x, y \in X$.

We denote such a system by

$$\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i \in I}).$$

Remark 2.14. *Each IFSCC is a* φ-max-*IFS.*

Indeed, just take $p = 2$ and the comparison function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\varphi(t) = (\max_{i,j \in I} d_{ij})t,$$

for every $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.15 (see Theorem 3.2 from [7]). *Each IFSCC $\mathcal{S}$ has attractor.*

Theorem 2.16 (see Theorem 3.2, ii) and Theorem 3.6 from [7]). *Given an IFSCC $\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i \in I})$, the function $\pi : \Lambda(I) \to A_{\mathcal{S}}$ -where $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the attractor of $\mathcal{S}$- defined by*

$$\pi(\omega) = a_\omega,$$

for every $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$, where $a_\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_{\omega_1...\omega_n}(x)$ for every $x \in X$, has the following properties:

a) it is continuous;

b) it is onto;

c)

$$\pi \circ \tau_i = f_i \circ \pi,$$

for every $i \in I$, where $\tau_i : \Lambda(I) \to \Lambda(I)$ is given by $\tau_i(\omega) = i\omega$ for every $\omega \in \Lambda(I)$.

The function π described by the above theorem is called *the canonical projection from $\Lambda(I)$ to $A_{\mathcal{S}}$.*

The metric spaces $(\mathcal{C}_b, d_u)$ and $(\mathcal{C}, d_u)$

Given a nonempty set I and a metric space (X, d), we consider the metric spaces$(\mathcal{C}_b, d_u)$, where $\mathcal{C}_b = \{f : \Lambda(I) \to X \mid f \text{ is continuous and bounded}\}$ and

$$d_u(f, g) = \sup_{\omega \in \Lambda(I)} d(f(\omega), g(\omega))$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_b$.

Remark 2.17.

a) The metric space $(\mathcal{C}_b, d_u)$ is complete provided that (X, d) is complete.

b) If I is finite, then $\mathcal{C}_b = \{f : \Lambda(I) \to X \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$. In this case, we denote $(\mathcal{C}_b, d_u)$ by $(\mathcal{C}, d_u)$.

The operator $G_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ associated to a φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S}$

Given a φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i\in I})$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}$, we can consider the function $G_{\mathcal{S},g} : \Lambda(I) \to X$ described by the equality

$$G_{\mathcal{S},g}(\omega) = f_{\omega_1}(g(\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}...)),$$

for every $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$.

Lemma 2.18. *For every φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S}$, the function $G_{\mathcal{S},g}$ is continuous.*
Proof: Let us suppose that $\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i\in I})$.

Claim 1. $\Lambda(I) = \underset{i\in I}{\cup}\tau_i(\Lambda(I))$.
The justification of this claim is obvious.

Claim 2. The set $\tau_i(\Lambda(I))$ is open for every $i \in I$.
Justification of claim 2. We have

$$\{\theta \in \Lambda(I) \mid d_\Lambda(\theta, \omega) < \frac{1}{2}\} \subseteq \tau_i(\Lambda(I)),$$

for every $\omega \in \tau_i(\Lambda(I))$ and every $i \in I$.

Claim 3. The restriction of $G_{\mathcal{S},g}$ to $\tau_i(\Lambda(I))$ is continuos for every $i \in I$.
Justification of claim 3. For every $i \in I$, the restriction of $G_{\mathcal{S},g}$ to $\tau_i(\Lambda(I))$ is $f_i \circ g \circ R$, where $R : \Lambda(I) \to \Lambda(I)$ is given by $R(\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3...) = \omega_2\omega_3....$ for every $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3... \in \Lambda(I)$. Note that R is continuous since $d_\Lambda(R(\omega), R(\theta)) = 2d_\Lambda(\omega, \theta)$ for all $\omega, \theta \in \Lambda(I)$. As f_i and g are continuous, the justification of this claim is done.

Consequently, taking into account the above claims and Theorem 18.2, f), from [9], we conclude that $G_{\mathcal{S},g}$ is continuous. □

Definition 2.19. *The operator $G_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ associated to a φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S}$ is given by*

$$G_{\mathcal{S}}(g) = G_{\mathcal{S},g}$$

for each $g \in \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 2.20. *For a φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i\in I})$, we have:*
a)

$$G^{[n]}_{\mathcal{S}}(g)(\omega) = f_{\omega_n\omega_{n-1}...\omega_2\omega_1}(g(\omega_{n+1}\omega_{n+2}...)),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and every* $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_m\omega_{m+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$.

b)

$$G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(g)(\Lambda(I)) = F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(g((\Lambda(I))),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.21. *The operator* $G_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ *associated to a* φ-max-*IFS* $\mathcal{S}$ *is continuous.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{S} =(X, (f_i)_{i\in I})$.

It suffices to prove that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_n), G_{\mathcal{S}}(g)) = 0$ for every $g_n, g \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} d_u(g_n, g) = 0$.

We have

$$g_n(\Lambda(I)) \subseteq (\underset{n\in\mathbb{N}}{\cup} g_n(\Lambda(I))) \cup g(\Lambda(I)) \overset{not}{=} B_1, \tag{1}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that B_1 is compact.

Indeed, since $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ is compact (see Remark 2.7, c) and $g_n \overset{u}{\to} g$, Remark 2.2, a), assures us that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} H(g_n(\Lambda(I)), g(\Lambda(I))) = 0$. Since $g_n(\Lambda(I)) \in P_{cp}(X)$ and $g(\Lambda(I)) \in P_{cp}(X)$ (because $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ is compact and the functions g and g_n are continuous), Remark 2.2, b), leads to the conclusion that B_1 is compact.

Now, let us consider $\varepsilon > 0$.

As the continuos functions of the finite family $(f_i)_{i\in I}$ are uniformly continuous on the compact set B_1, there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) < \varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

provided that $i \in I$ and $x, y \in B_1$, $d(x, y) < \delta_\varepsilon$.

Moreover, since $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} d_u(g_n, g) = 0$, there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_u(g_n, g) < \delta_\varepsilon, \tag{3}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. Therefore

$$d(g_n(\omega)), g(\omega)) \leq d_u(g_n, g) \overset{(3)}{<} \delta_\varepsilon, \tag{4}$$

for every $\omega \in \Lambda(I)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. Making use of (1), we infer that $g_n(\omega), g(\omega) \in B_1$, so

$$d((f_i(g_n(\omega)), f_i(g(\omega))) \overset{(2)\&(4)}{<} \varepsilon, \tag{5}$$

for all $\omega \in \Lambda(I)$, $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. Finally we have

$$d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_n), G_{\mathcal{S}}(g)) = \sup_{\omega \in \Lambda(I)} d((G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_n))(\omega), (G_{\mathcal{S}}(g))(\omega)) =$$

$$= \sup_{i \in I, \omega \in \Lambda(I)} d((G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_n))(i\omega), (G_{\mathcal{S}}(g))(i\omega)) = \sup_{i \in I, \omega \in \Lambda(I)} d((f_i(g_n(\omega)), f_i(g(\omega))) \overset{(5)}{\leq} \varepsilon,$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_\varepsilon$, i.e. $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_n), G_{\mathcal{S}}(g)) = 0$. $\square$

3. The main results

Theorem 3.1. *The operator $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ associated to a φ-*max*-IFS $\mathcal{S}$ is a Picard operator.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{S} = (X, (f_i)_{i \in I})$.

We are going to prove that

$$d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(g), G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(h)) \leq \varphi(\max_{j \in \{0,1,\ldots,p-1\}} d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[j]}(g), G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[j]}(h))), \tag{1}$$

for every $g, h \in \mathcal{C}$.

Indeed, we have

$$d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(g), G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(h)) = \sup_{\omega \in \Lambda(I)} d((G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(g))(\omega), (G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(h))(\omega)) =$$

$$= \sup_{\theta \in \Lambda_p(I), \omega \in \Lambda(I)} d(((G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(g))(\theta\omega), (G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[p]}(h))(\theta\omega)) \overset{\text{Remark 2.20, a)}}{=}$$

$$= \sup_{\theta \in \Lambda_p(I), \omega \in \Lambda(I)} d(f_\theta(g(\omega)), f_\theta(h(\omega)))) \overset{\text{Definition 2.12}}{\leq}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\omega \in \Lambda(I)} \varphi(\max_{\sigma \in V_p(I)} d(f_\sigma(g(\omega)), f_\sigma(h(\omega)))) \overset{\text{Definition 2.3}}{\leq}$$

$$\leq \varphi(\max_{\sigma \in V_p(I)} \sup_{\omega \in \Lambda(I)} d(f_\sigma(g(\omega)), f_\sigma(h(\omega)))) =$$

$$= \varphi(\max_{j \in \{0,1,\ldots,p-1\}} \sup_{\sigma \in \Lambda_j(I), \omega \in \Lambda(I)} d(f_\sigma(g(\omega)), f_\sigma(h(\omega)))) \overset{\text{Remark 2.20, a)}}{=}$$

$$= \varphi(\max_{j \in \{0,1,\ldots,p-1\}} \sup_{\omega \in \Lambda(I)} d(G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[j]}(g)(\omega), G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[j]}(h)(\omega)) =$$

$$= \varphi(\max_{j\in\{0,1,\dots,p-1\}} d_u(G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[j]}(g), G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[j]}(h))),$$

for every $g, h \in \mathcal{C}$.

Taking into account Remark 2.17, a), Proposition 2.21 and (1), based on Theorem 2.6, we conclude that $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a Picard operator. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *The fractal operator $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ associated to a φ-max-IFS $\mathcal{S}$ is a Picard operator.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i\in I})$. According to Theorem 3.1, $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ has a unique fixed point $g_0 \in \mathcal{C}$.

First we consider the case that I has at least two elements.

Claim 1. $g_0(\Lambda(I)) \in P_{cp}(X)$.

Justification of claim 1. Since $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ is compact (see Remark 2.7, c) and g_0 is continuous, we infer that $g_0(\Lambda(I))$ is a compact subset of X.

Claim 2. $g_0(\Lambda(I))$ is a fixed point of $F_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Justification of claim 2. Because $G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_0) = g_0$, we get $G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_0)(i\omega) = g_0(i\omega)$, i.e. $f_i(g_0(\omega)) = g_0(\tau_i(\omega))$ for every $i \in I$ and every $\omega \in \Lambda(I)$. Consequently

$$f_i \circ g_0 = g_0 \circ \tau_i, \tag{1}$$

for every $i \in I$.

Then

$$g_0(\Lambda(I)) = g_0(\underset{i\in I}{\cup}\tau_i(\Lambda(I))) = \underset{i\in I}{\cup}(g_0 \circ \tau_i)(\Lambda(I)) \overset{(1)}{=}$$
$$= \underset{i\in I}{\cup}(f_i \circ g_0)(\Lambda(I)) = F_{\mathcal{S}}(g_0(\Lambda(I))),$$

i.e. $g_0(\Lambda(I))$ is a fixed point of $F_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Claim 3. $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(K) = g_0(\Lambda(I))$ for every $K \in P_{cp}(X)$.

Justification of claim 3. For every $g \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(g) = g_0$, i.e. $G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(g) \overset{u}{\to} g_0$. Hence, since $(\Lambda(I), d_\Lambda)$ is compact (see Remark 2.7, c), according to Remark 2.2, a), we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(g)(\Lambda(I)) = g_0(\Lambda(I))$. In view of Remark 2.20, b), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(g((\Lambda(I))) = g_0(\Lambda(I)). \tag{2}$$

Proposition 2.11 assures us that for every $K \in P_{cp}(X)$, there exists $g_K \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $g_K(\Lambda(I)) = K$ and from (2) we infer that $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(K) = g_0(\Lambda(I))$.

Claim 4. $g_0(\Lambda(I))$ is the unique fixed point of $F_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Justification of claim 4. If $A \in P_{cp}(X)$ is a fixed point of $F_{\mathcal{S}}$, then $F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(A) = A$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(A) = A$. But, according to Claim 3, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(A) = g_0(\Lambda(I))$. Therefore, the uniqueness of the limit of $(F_{\mathcal{S}}^{[n]}(A))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ implies that $A = g_0(\Lambda(I))$.

Based on the above claims we conclude that $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a Picard operator whose fixed point is $g_0(\Lambda(I))$.

If I has just one element, let us say $I = \{i\}$, then we consider $j \neq i$ and apply the above considerations to the system $\mathcal{S}' = ((X,d), \{f_i, f_j\})$, where $f_i = f_j$. Hence $F_{\mathcal{S}'}$ is a Picard operator, so $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ is also a Picard operator. □

In view of Remark 2.14, we get the following:

Theorem 3.3. *The operator $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ associated to an IFSCC $\mathcal{S}$ is a Picard operator.*

Theorem 3.4. *The unique fixed point of the operator $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ associated to an IFSCC $\mathcal{S} = ((X,d), (f_i)_{i\in I})$ is the canonical projection from $\Lambda(I)$ to $A_{\mathcal{S}}$, where $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the attractor of $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 3.3, there exists a unique $g_0 : \Lambda(I) \to X$ continuous such that $G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_0) = g_0$. Consequently $G_{\mathcal{S}}(g_0)(i\omega) = g_0(i\omega)$, i.e.

$$f_i(g_0(\omega)) = g_0(i\omega), \tag{1}$$

for every $i \in I$ and every $\omega \in \Lambda(I)$.

Therefore, using (1), we get

$$g_0(\omega_1 ... \omega_n \theta) = f_{\omega_1 ... \omega_n}(g_0(\theta)), \tag{2}$$

for every θ, $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As $d_\Lambda(\omega_1 ... \omega_n\theta, \omega) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we infer that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_\Lambda(\omega_1 ... \omega_n\theta, \omega) = 0, \tag{3}$$

for every θ, $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$.

On the one hand, from (3), using the continuity of g_0, we obtain that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(g_0(\omega_1 ... \omega_n\theta), g_0(\omega)) = 0$, which, based on (2), takes the following form:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f_{\omega_1 ... \omega_n}(g_0(\theta)), g_0(\omega)) = 0, \tag{4}$$

for every θ, $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$.

On the other hand, taking into account Theorem 2.16, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f_{\omega_1...\omega_n}(g_0(\theta)), \pi(\omega)) = 0, \tag{5}$$

for every θ, $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2...\omega_n\omega_{n+1}... \in \Lambda(I)$.

Relations (4) and (5) lead to the conclusion that $g_0 = \pi$, i.e. the fixed point of $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the canonical projection from $\Lambda(I)$ to $A_{\mathcal{S}}$. $\square$

4. Iterated function systems involving a possible infinite family of φ-max-contractions

Theorem 3.1 is also valid for a class of iterated function systems involving a possible infinite family of φ-max-contractions. More precisely, we have the following:

Definition 4.1. *A possibly infinite iterated function system consisting of* φ-max-*contractions (*φ-max-*PIIFS for short) is described by:*

- *a complete metric space* (X, d)

- *a family of continuous functions* $(f_i)_{i\in I}$*, where* $f_i : X \to X$*, having the following three properties:*

a) $\underset{i\in I}{\cup} f_i(B)$ *is bounded, for every* $B \in P_{b,cl}(X)$*;*

b) *for each bounded and closed subset* B *of* X *and each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $\delta_{B,\varepsilon} > 0$ *such that* $d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) < \varepsilon$ *provided that* $i \in I$ *and* $x, y \in B$*,* $d(x, y) < \delta_{B,\varepsilon}$ *(i.e. the family* $(f_i)_{i\in I}$ *is equal uniformly continuous on each bounded and closed subset of* X*).*

c) *there exist a comparison function* $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *and* $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *such that*

$$\max_{\omega\in\Lambda_p(I)} d(f_\omega(x), f_\omega(y)) \leq \varphi(\max_{\omega\in V_p(I)} d(f_\omega(x), f_\omega(y))),$$

for every $x, y \in X$*.*

We denote such a system by

$$\mathcal{S} = ((X, d), (f_i)_{i\in I}).$$

The *fractal operator* $F_{\mathcal{S}} : P_{b,cl}(X) \to P_{b,cl}(X)$, associated to the φ-max-PIIFS $\mathcal{S}$, is given by

$$F_{\mathcal{S}}(B) = \overline{\underset{i\in I}{\cup} f_i(B)}$$

for every $B \in P_{cp}(X)$.

Remark 4.2. *We can associate to a* φ-max-*PIIFS* $\mathcal{S}$ *the operator* $G_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{C}_b \to \mathcal{C}_b$ *described by* $G_{\mathcal{S}}(g) = G_{\mathcal{S},g}$.

Indeed, the continuity of $G_{\mathcal{S},g}$ could be prove using exactly the same arguments as those from the proof of Lemma 2.18 and the justification of its boundedness is the following:

As g is bounded, $(g \circ R)(\Lambda(I))$ is bounded and, in view of property a) from Definition 4.1, $\underset{i\in I}{\cup} f_i((g \circ R)(\Lambda(I))) = G_{\mathcal{S},g}(\Lambda(I)))$ is bounded, i.e. $G_{\mathcal{S},g}$ is bounded.

Proposition 4.3. *The operator* $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ *associated to a* φ-max-*PIIFS* $\mathcal{S} = (X, (f_i)_{i\in I})$ *is continuous.*

Proof. The proof is similar with the one of Proposition 2.21, except the justification of relations (1) and (2) from that proof which should be replace with the following one:

Since $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} d_u(g_n, g) = 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_u(g_n, g) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{*}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$.

We have

$$g_n(\Lambda(I)) \subseteq \overline{E_1(g(\Lambda(I)))} \overset{not}{=} B_1, \tag{1}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$.

Indeed, for every $\omega \in \Lambda(I)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$, we have $d(g_n(\omega), g(\omega)) \leq d_u(g_n, g) \overset{(*)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} < 1$, so $g_n(\omega) \in \{y \in X \mid d(y, g(\omega)) < \frac{1}{2}\}$ and consequently $g_n(\omega) \in \overline{E_1(g(\Lambda(I)))}$.

According to b) from the Definition 4.1, as B_1 is closed and bounded (since $g(\Lambda(I))$ is bounded as g is bounded), there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) < \varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

provided that $i \in I$ and $x, y \in B_1$, $d(x, y) < \delta_\varepsilon$. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *The operator* $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ *associated to a* φ-max-*PIIFS* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a Picard operator.*

Proof. The proof is identical with the one of Theorem 3.1.

Open problem. *Is it true that the fractal operator $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ associated to a φ-*max*-PIIFS $\mathcal{S}$ is a Picard operator whose fixed point is the image of the fixed point of $G_{\mathcal{S}}$?*

If the answer to this question is true, then the image of the fixed point of $G_{\mathcal{S}}$ would deserve to be called the attractor of the φ-max-PIIFS $\mathcal{S}$.

References

[1] Barnsley, M.F.: Transformation between attractors of hyperbolic iterated function systems (2007), arXiv:math/0703398v1.

[2] Brucks, K.M. and Bruin, H.: Topics from one-dimensional dynamics, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[3] Hille M.R.: Remarks on limit sets of infinite iterated function systems, Monatsh. Math., **168** (2012), 215–237.

[4] Georgescu F.: IFSs consisting of generalized convex contractions, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa, Ser. Mat., **25** (2017), 77-86.

[5] Mihail, A. and Miculescu, R.: The shift space for an infinite iterated function system, Math. Rep., **61** (2009), 21-32.

[6] Miculescu, R. and Mihail, A.: A generalization of Matkowski's fixed point theorem and Istrăţescu's fixed point theorem concerning convex contractions, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2017, doi:10.1007/s11784-017-0411-7.

[7] Miculescu, R. and Mihail, A.: A generalization of Istrăţescu's fixed point theorem for convex contractions, Fixed Point Theory, in print, arXiv:1512.05490.

[8] Mihail, A.: The canonical projection between the shift space of an IIFS and its attractor as a fixed point, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2015, Paper No. 75, 15 p.

[9] Munkres, J.R.: Topology, 2nd edition, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 2000.

[10] Willard, S.: General topology, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1970.

Flavian Georgescu
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Piteşti, Romania
Târgul din Vale 1, 110040, Piteşti, Argeş, Romania
E-mail: faviu@yahoo.com

Radu Miculescu
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Bucharest University, Romania
Str. Academiei 14, 010014, Bucharest
E-mail: miculesc@yahoo.com

Alexandru Mihail
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Bucharest University, Romania
Str. Academiei 14, 010014, Bucharest
E-mail: mihail_alex@yahoo.com